

ORIGINAL

FLORENCE T. NAKAKUNI #2286
United States Attorney
District of Hawaii

BEVERLY WEE SAMESHIMA #2556
Chief, Drug and Organized
Crime Section

CHRIS A. THOMAS #3514
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Room 6-100, PJKK Federal Building
300 Ala Moana Boulevard
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850
Telephone: (808) 541-2850
Facsimile: (808) 541-2958
E-mail: Chris.Thomas@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FILED IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF HAWAII
JUL 31 2013
at 2 o'clock and 00 min. M.
SUE BEITIA, CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR. NO. 12-01256 JMS
)	
Plaintiff,)	MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT
)	
vs.)	
)	
JACOB LYMAN,)	DATE: July 31, 2013
)	TIME: 1:30 p.m.
Defendant.)	JUDGE: Honorable J. Michael
)	Seabright
)	

MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its attorney, the United States Attorney for the District of Hawaii, and the defendant, JACOB LYMAN (hereinafter "Defendant"), and his attorney, STUART FUJIOKA, Esq., have agreed to enter into this plea agreement as stated below:

1. Defendant acknowledges that he has been charged in the above-captioned case, in the District of Hawaii with violations of Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1) and b)(1)(A) (possession with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine).

2. Defendant has read the charges against him contained in the Indictment, and the charges have been fully explained to him by his attorney.

3. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crimes with which he has been charged.

4. Defendant will enter a voluntary plea of guilty to the Count 2 of the Indictment. In return for Defendant's agreement to plead guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment, the United States agrees to dismiss Counts 1 and 3 against Defendant after sentencing. Defendant agrees that the conduct forming the basis for the remaining counts to be dismissed in the Indictment constitutes "relevant conduct" pursuant to Sentencing Guideline Section 1B1.3 and shall be used in calculating defendant's base offense level.

5. Defendant agrees that this Memorandum of Plea Agreement shall be filed and become part of the record in this case.

6. Defendant enters this guilty plea because he is in fact guilty of possession with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine.

7. Based on a Special Information that was filed in this case, Defendant understands that the penalties for the offense to which he is pleading guilty include:

A. A mandatory minimum of 20 years and up to life imprisonment;

B. A fine of up to \$20,000,000;

C. A term of supervised release of at least 10 years; and

D. A \$100 special assessment.

At the discretion of the Court, defendant may also be denied any or all federal benefits, as that term is defined in 21 USC §862, (a) for up to five years if this is defendant's first conviction of a federal or state offense consisting of the distribution of controlled substances, or (b) for up to ten years if this is defendant's second conviction of a federal or state offense consisting of the distribution of controlled substances. If this is defendant's third or more conviction of a federal or state offense consisting of the distribution of controlled substances, the defendant is permanently ineligible for all federal benefits, as that term is defined in 21 USC §862(d).

8. Defendant admits the following facts and agrees that they are not a detailed recitation, but merely an outline of what happened in relation to the charge to which defendant is pleading guilty:

Since mid 2012, a cooperating source (CS) had provided information to the DEA that Jacob LYMAN was distributing crystal methamphetamine in the State of Hawai'i.

The CS under the direction of DEA, placed multiple recorded telephone calls to LYMAN in which LYMAN and the CS discussed the sale/purchase of crystal methamphetamine.

On July 2, 2012, the CS along with an HPD undercover officer met with LYMAN at 46-125 Ha'iku Road, Kane'ohe, Hawai'i 96744 and paid LYMAN \$5,400.00 for a previous "fronted" transaction involving crystal methamphetamine and also purchased an additional two (2) ounces of crystal methamphetamine from LYMAN for \$3,600.00. An analysis by the DEA Southwest Laboratory determined that the two (2) ounces purchased from LYMAN weighed 55.8 grams and was 99.6% pure d-Methamphetamine for an actual weight of 55.7 grams.

9. The parties agree that the charge to which the defendant is pleading guilty adequately reflects the seriousness of the actual offense behavior and that accepting this Agreement will not undermine the statutory purposes of sentencing.

10. Pursuant to CrimLR32.1(b) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii and Section 6B1.4 of the Sentencing Guidelines, the parties stipulate to the following for the purpose of the sentencing of Defendant in connection with this matter:

a. The United States Attorney agrees that Defendant's agreement herein to enter into a guilty plea constitutes notice of intent to plead guilty in a timely manner, so as to permit the government to avoid preparing for trial as to Defendant. Accordingly, the United States Attorney anticipates moving in the Government's Sentencing Statement for a one-level reduction in sentencing offense level pursuant to Guideline § 3E1.1(b)(2), if defendant is otherwise eligible. The Defendant understands that notwithstanding its present intentions, and still within the Agreement, the prosecution reserves the rights (1) to argue to the contrary in the event of receipt of new information relating to those issues, and (2) to call and examine witnesses on those issues in the event that either the probation office finds to the contrary of the prosecution's intentions or the Court requests that evidence be presented on those issues.

11. The parties agree that notwithstanding the parties' agreement herein, or any stipulation(s) that may be entered into by the parties, the Court is not bound by any such stipulation, but may, with the aid of the pre-sentence report, determine the facts relevant to sentencing.

12. The Defendant understands that the Court in imposing sentence will consider the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines.

The Defendant further agrees that there is no promise or guarantee of the applicability or non-applicability of any Guideline or any

portion thereof, notwithstanding any representations or predictions from any source.

13. The Defendant understands that this Agreement cannot be accepted or rejected by the Court until there has been an opportunity by the Court to consider a Presentence Report, unless the Court decides that a Presentence Report is unnecessary. The Defendant understands that the Court will not accept an agreement unless the Court determines that the charges contained in the Information adequately reflect the seriousness of the actual offense behavior and accepting the agreement will not undermine the statutory purposes of sentencing.

14. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:

a. If Defendant persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charge against him, he would have the right to a public and speedy trial. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by a judge sitting without a jury. The defendant has a right to a jury trial. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, the defendant, the prosecution, and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury;

b. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve laypersons selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would have a say in who the jurors would be by removing

prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that the defendant is presumed innocent, and that it could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as to each count;

c. If the trial is held by a judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not he or she was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt;

d. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the prosecution would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against the defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those prosecution witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on his own behalf. If the witnesses for the defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court; and

e. At a trial, the defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from his refusal to testify.

f. At a trial, Defendant would have a right to have the jury determine beyond a reasonable doubt the quantity and weight of the controlled substances charged in the Indictment.

15. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, he is waiving all of the rights set forth in the preceding paragraph. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to Defendant and the consequences of the waiver of those rights.

16. Should the Court refuse to accept this Agreement, it is null and void and neither party shall be bound thereto. The parties understand that the Court's rejection of any stipulation between the parties does not constitute a refusal to accept this Agreement since the Court is expressly not bound by stipulations between the parties.

17. Defendant understands that the prosecution will apprise the Court and the United States Probation Office of the nature, scope and extent of defendant's conduct regarding the charge against him, related matters, and any matters in aggravation or mitigation relevant to the issues involved in sentencing.

18. The Defendant is aware that he has the right to appeal the sentence imposed under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(a). Defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal, except as indicated in subparagraph "b" below, any sentence within the maximum provided in the statute(s) of conviction or the manner in which that sentence was determined on any of the grounds set forth

in Section 3742, or on any ground whatever, in exchange for the concessions made by the prosecution in this plea agreement.

a. The Defendant also waives his right to challenge his sentence or the manner in which it was determined in any collateral attack, including, but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255, except that defendant may make such a challenge, (1) as indicated in subparagraph (b) below, or (2) based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

b. If the Court imposes a sentence greater than specified in the guideline range determined by the Court to be applicable to the Defendant, the Defendant retains the right to appeal the portion of his sentence greater than specified in that guideline range and the manner in which that portion was determined under Section 3742, and to challenge that portion of his sentence in a collateral attack.

c. The prosecution retains its right to appeal the sentence and the manner in which it was determined on any of the grounds stated in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(b). Defendant understands that the prosecution will apprise the Court and the United States Probation Office of the nature, scope and extent of Defendant's conduct regarding the charges against him, related matters, and any matters in aggravation or mitigation relevant to the issues involved in sentencing.

19. The Defendant agrees that he will fully cooperate with the United States.

a. He agrees to testify truthfully at any and all trials, hearings, or any other proceedings at which the prosecution requests him to testify, including, but not limited to, any grand jury proceedings, trial proceedings involving codefendants and others indicted later in the investigation, and related civil proceedings.

b. Defendant agrees to be available to speak with law enforcement officials and to representatives of the United States Attorney's Office at any time and to give truthful and complete answers at such meetings, but he understands he may have his counsel present at those conversations, if he so desires.

c. Defendant agrees he will not assert any privilege to refuse to testify at any grand jury, trial, or other proceeding, involving or related to the crimes in this Indictment or any subsequent charges related to this investigation, at which the prosecution requests him to testify.

d. Pursuant to § 1B1.8(a) of the Sentencing Guidelines, the prosecution agrees that self-incriminating information provided pursuant to this Agreement to cooperate will not be used in determining the applicable guideline range, except as may be provided in this Agreement and under § 1B1.8(b) of the Sentencing Guidelines.

20. In the event that the Defendant does not breach any of the terms of this Agreement but the Court nonetheless

refuses to accept the Agreement after Defendant has made statements to law enforcement authorities or representatives of the United States Attorney's Office pursuant to this Agreement, the prosecution agrees not to use said statements in its case in chief in the trial of the Defendant in this matter. Defendant understands that this does not bar the use of information and evidence derived from said statements or prohibit the use of the statements by the prosecution in cross-examination or rebuttal.

21. Pursuant to Guideline Section 5K1.1 and Rule 35(b), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the prosecution may move the Court to depart from the Guidelines on the ground that the Defendant has provided substantial assistance to authorities in the investigation or prosecution of another person who has committed an offense. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(e), the prosecution may also move the Court to impose a sentence below the level established by statute as a minimum sentence for Count 2 on the ground that Defendant has provided substantial assistance in the investigation or prosecution of another person who has committed an offense. Defendant understands that:

a. The decision as to whether to make such a request or motion is entirely up to the prosecution.

b. This Agreement does not require the prosecution to make such a request or motion.

c. This Agreement confers neither any right upon the Defendant to have the prosecution make such a request or motion, nor any remedy to Defendant in the event the prosecution fails to make such a request or motion.


. Even in the event that the prosecution makes such a request or motion, the Court may refuse to depart from the Guidelines or to impose a sentence below the minimum level established by statute.

JUL 31 2012


DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, _____.

AGREED:


FLORENCE T. NAKAKUNI
United States Attorney
District of Hawaii




BEVERLY WEE SAMESHIMA
Chief, Drug and Organized
Crime Section



CHRIS A. THOMAS
Assistant U.S. Attorney



JACOB LYMAN
Defendant



STUART FUJIOKA
Attorney for Defendant

U.S. v. Lyman
Memo of Plea Agreement
Cr. No. 12-01256 JMS